

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,792	01/17/2001	Jurgen Hofkens	Q62158	4947	
7590 10/28/2004			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			NGUYEN, CUONG H		
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20037-3213		3661	3661	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/760,792	HOFKENS, JURGEN			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2004 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the section fee to the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:				
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	~			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	•				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,9 and 10.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☑ The drawing correction filed on 17 January 2001	s a)⊠ approved or b)⊡ disap	oproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) CUONG H. NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3661 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the only amended word (changing from "a category" to "an economic value" in independent system claim 1 is considered as a non-functional descriptive material that does not distribute to a structural change of claimed system ("an economic value" can be any value/rank/level/number .etc.).

Continuation of 10. Other: the amended word is obvious with cited references because it is a non-functional descriptive material..